

Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea Local Plan Partial Review Examination Hearings

AGENDA

Day 3 – Thursday 1 March 2018

Small Hall, Kensington Town Hall, Horton Street, London, W8 7NX

Please note:

- References to questions in brackets are those posed by the Inspector in the Matters, Issues and Questions (MIQs) document for Issue 3a. The MIQs have been circulated but can be viewed on the Examination website. Hard copies will be available at the hearing.
- This session will run morning and afternoon, with a mid-day break taken at an appropriate point in the proceedings.

All Day (10.00 start)

1. Inspector's opening remarks on Day 3

2. Matter 3/Issue 3a – Diversity of Housing

Housing Target (Policies CP1 and CH1)

- Soundness of the housing target of 733 dwellings/year? [Question 1]

Housing Supply (Policy CP1)

- Is there an adequate supply of housing? [Question 2]
- Is there a 5 year supply of deliverable housing sites? [Questions 3 & 4]

Amalgamations and Very Large Units (Policy CH1)

- Is the policy on amalgamations and very large units sound? [Question 5]
- Is the threshold of 170sqm for large units justified? [Question 6]

Affordable Housing (Policy CH2)

Is the approach to affordable housing justified, consistent with national policy and in general conformity with the London Plan in respect of:

- The target of 35% affordable housing? [Question 7a]
- A threshold of 650 sqm? [Question 7b]
- A tenure split of 50% social rent and 50% intermediate housing? [Question 7c]
- Use of EUV as the threshold land value in appraisals? [Question 7d]
- Exemption from vacant building credit? [Question 7e]
- The definition of affordable housing? [Question 7f]

Housing Size Mix and Standards (Policy CH3)

- Is the approach to housing size mix and standards justified by the evidence, consistent with national policy and in general conformity with the London Plan? [Question 8]

Specific Housing Needs (Policy CH4)

- Is the approach to specialist housing needs justified by the evidence and consistent with national policy and the London Plan [Question 9]

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation (Policy CH6)

Is the Plan's provision for gypsy and traveller accommodation justified and consistent with national policy in respect of:

- The assessment of needs? [Question 10a]
- The search for sites for additional pitches? [Question 10b]
- Meeting the shortfall in the need for pitches? [Question 10c]

Participants

Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea

Cllr Emma Dent Coad

Home Builders Federation

Kensington Society

Savills Planning for Westbourne Capital Partners, Auriens Ltd & Wellcome Trust