

# Local Plan Partial Review Issues and Options Consultation Summary



THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF  
KENSINGTON  
AND CHELSEA

## Section 15: Waste

### Issue 1: Waste apportionment

#### Option 1

The Council should identify a site or sites within the Borough to address its apportionment shortfall entirely within the Borough.

#### Option 2

The Council should continue to work with the other WRWA WPAs to jointly identify a site or sites within the WRWA area to address their collective apportionment shortfall entirely within the WRWA area.

#### Option 3

The Council should continue to work with the other WRWA WPAs and collectively secure spare apportionment capacity outside of the WRWA area, but within London, to address the WRWA WPAs' collective apportionment shortfall.

#### 10 responses. Key points:

- Most supported Options 2 (x4) and 3 (x3)
- LBHF considers Options 2 and 3 do not preclude Option 1 – all 3 options should be pursued
- City of London supported Option 3 and suggests that WRWA WPAs explore sites in those areas first before considering London-wide
- Council should stop retail expansion which creates waste
- Waste should not be transported long distances for recycling

#### Question 1

Are there any sites (or areas) that should be considered as a site (or area) allocation as part of the Local Plan Partial Review for waste management? If so, please complete the Call for Sites section of the Consultation Response Form.

#### 1 response. Key points:

- No sites suggested

## Question 2

Should the Council continue to safeguard Cremorne Wharf for waste management, water transport and cargo handling purposes?

### 13 responses. Key points:

- Yes = 9 (including the Port of London Authority and the Mayor of London)
- No = 1
- Don't know = 3

Do you have any other comments, issues or options (reasonable alternatives) you would like to raise regarding this section?

### 3 responses. Key points:

- Mayor of London referenced London Plan policy which supports Boroughs collaborating to deal with waste apportionments
- Environment Agency:
  - 80,000 tonnes per hectare assumption needs evidence to support it or should be amended to a lower figure e.g. 60,000 tonnes per hectare
  - Need to update the Waste Apportionments Engagement Statement with up-to-date data
- North London Waste Plan:
  - Evidence base should also cover other waste streams as require by national policy and guidance
  - Evidence base should use standard maximum capacity limits rather than existing throughput and maximum licensed capacity

## Issue 2: Bin storage and management in new development

### Option 1

Keep existing Local Plan Policy CE3 (b), (c) and (e) structured and worded as it is.

### Option 2

Amend existing Local Plan Policy CE3 (b), (c) and (e) to consider issues such as:

- Temporary storage space within each flat/apartment allowing for the separate storage of recyclable materials
- Communal storage for waste, including separate recyclables, pending its collection
- Design, quality and access of storage and collection systems (e.g. dedicated rooms, storage areas and chutes or underground waste collection systems)
- Managing, to acceptable levels, impacts on amenity including those that may be caused by odour, noise, and dust
- On-site treatment of waste

- Adequate contingency measures to manage any mechanical breakdowns<sup>1</sup>

**9 responses. Key points:**

- No clear preference for either Option 1 or 2
- Policy CE3 could make a general statement about the reduction of waste overall
- Wording of “adequate” should be improved
- Reference to site waste management plans could also reference hazardous materials
- Council should stop retail expansion which creates waste
- Bin storage should be enforced
- Many flats in the south of the Borough would be unable to provide temporary bin storage within the flat
- Adverse impacts are usually because of management issues rather than the acceptability or otherwise of the development permitted
- Waste and recycling bin areas should be provided in all new developments and designed to reduce rodent infestation and be accessible to all

**Do you have any other comments, issues or options (reasonable alternatives) you would like to raise regarding this section?**

**3 responses. Key points:**

- Introduce more recycling schemes, including for commercial properties
- Introduce higher degree of monitoring, warnings and penalties
- Remove existing reference in Policy CE3 for an on-site waste management facility at Kensal Gasworks
- There should be a waste management facility at Kensal Gasworks

---

<sup>1</sup> List adapted from the London Environment Directors’ Network (LEDNET) and the London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB) Template Planning Policy for recycling and waste in flatted developments: For new build flats in London (January 2015) [www.lwarb.gov.uk/what-we-do/london-waste-authority-support/successes-to-date/efficiencies-programme-outputs/](http://www.lwarb.gov.uk/what-we-do/london-waste-authority-support/successes-to-date/efficiencies-programme-outputs/)