

Local Plan Partial Review Issues and Options Consultation Summary



THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF
KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA

Section 1: Introduction to the Partial Review

Question 1

Are there any topics or policies in the Local Plan, other than those identified in Figure 1.2 or **Appendix A**, which should be reviewed as part of the Local Plan Partial Review? If so, please explain and provide reasoning.

17 responses. Key points:

Issue	Respondent(s)	Council's response
Housing should be a higher priority	Charles Bezoari Elder	Housing is already a significant component of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 10 'Housing' and Draft Policies Chapter 35 'Diversity of Housing'. No change.
Transport for London's cycling 'quietways' (referring to local opposition in South Kensington)	Onslow Neighbourhood Association	Proposed Quietways are subject to separate consultation by the Mayor of London and the Council (www.rbkc.gov.uk/cyclegrid) and will not be duplicated as part of the Local Plan Partial Review. Positive as well as negative responses were received. Policy CT1 'Improving alternatives to car use' was reviewed as part of the Miscellaneous Matters review, adopted in December 2014 and includes the text "The Council will ensure that there are better alternatives to car use by making it easier and more attractive to walk, cycle and use public transport...". No change.
Local business / employment / commercial space	Norland Society	Already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 7 'Business uses' and Draft Policies Chapter 31 'Fostering Vitality'. No change

Issue	Respondent(s)	Council's response
Local shops	Norland Society	<p>Already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 6 ‘Shops and centres’ and Draft Policies Chapter 31 ‘Fostering Vitality’.</p> <p>No change.</p>
Affordable housing tenure mix	Norland Society	<p>Already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 10 ‘Housing’ and Draft Policies Chapter 35 ‘Diversity of Housing’.</p> <p>No change.</p>
Absentee owners	Norland Society	<p>Already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 10 ‘Housing’ and Draft Policies Chapter 35 ‘Diversity of Housing’.</p> <p>No change.</p>
Green infrastructure and biodiversity	Natural England	<p>Policy CE4 ‘Biodiversity’ was reviewed as part of the Miscellaneous Matters review, adopted in December 2014. Infrastructure, including green infrastructure, is already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 5 ‘Infrastructure and planning contributions’ and Draft Policies Chapter 29 ‘Policies and Actions’ and Chapter 37 ‘Infrastructure’.</p> <p>No change.</p>

Issue	Respondent(s)	Council's response
<p>Do not consider that UDP Policy TR44 has been superseded by Local Plan Policy CT1(b)</p> <p>Problems related to demolishing front walls/railings and paving over forecourts</p>	<p>Kensington Society and Bina Gardens West Garden Committee</p>	<p>UDP Policy TR44 has already been superseded by Local Plan Policy CT1(b):</p> <p>UDP Policy TR44 said: "Normally to resist development which would result in the net loss of on-street residents' parking. Proposals for additional residential off-street parking which result in a net increase in the number of spaces, may be permitted. Off-street parking must be able to accommodate all sizes of car and meet planning requirements".</p> <p>Local Plan Policy CT1(b) says "require it to be demonstrated that development will not result in any material increase in traffic congestion or on-street parking pressure".</p> <p>The existing Local Plan's Policy Replacement Schedule (Chapter 41) made clear that TR44 was superseded by CT1(b).</p> <p>No change.</p>
<p>Social and community uses e.g. education</p>	<p>Alpha Plus Ltd</p>	<p>Policy CK1 'Social and Community Uses' is not part of the Local Plan Partial Review. Infrastructure, including education infrastructure, is already part of the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review – see Issues and Options section 5 'Infrastructure and planning contributions' and Draft Policies Chapter 29 'Policies and Actions' and Chapter 37 'Infrastructure'.</p> <p>No change.</p>
<p>Archaeological Priority Areas (GLAAS APAs) and Borough-wide characterisation reports</p>	<p>Historic England</p>	<p>The 'Renewing the Legacy' policies were reviewed as part of the Conservation and Design review, adopted in December 2014.</p> <p>Policy CL4 Heritage Assets – Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology and its supporting reasoned justification text has been updated to reflect the latest APAs. Other elements of Chapter 34 'Renewing the Legacy' remain outside the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review.</p>

Issue	Respondent(s)	Council's response
Article 4 Directions for pubs	St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum	Policy CK2 'Local shopping and other facilities which keep life local' was reviewed as part of the Pubs and Local Character review, adopted in October 2013. Article 4 Directions are not set through Local Plan policy but through a separate process. The Council has no current proposals to introduce Article 4 Directions for pubs but continues to monitor trends. No change.
Public lavatories, including at Portobello Market	Marion Gettleson	Not considered relevant to the scope of the Local Plan Partial Review. No change.
Consultation document is long and overwhelming, using jargon	NTA Planning John Eagle Silchester Residents Association (Jo Poole) Christian Durie	The Council is grateful to all those that took the time to read the consultation documents, attend Discussion Groups and submit responses. Noted for future consultations.
Consultation period included Christmas break, Discussion Groups held at end of consultation period, presentations were technical	Cllr Judith Blakeman Cllr Emma Dent Coad	The Issues and Options consultation was not required by the Regulations but the Council undertook it in accordance with its Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) and desire for effective public consultation. Statutory timescales for consultations are normally 4-6 weeks but the Council consulted for 8 weeks to take into account the Christmas break. Noted for future consultations.