



New Local Plan Review Policy Formulation Report – September 2022

Conservation



THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF
KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA

Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1	New Local Plan Review	3
1.2	Existing Local Plan	3
1.3	Key Issues and Policy Driver	3
2.	Legislation, Policy and Guidance Context	4
2.1	Conservation (policies CD3, CD4, CD5 & CD6)	4
3.	Evidence base	6
3.1	Understanding and Assessing the Significance of RBKC's Historic Environment.....	6
4.	Consultation, Options, and Integrated Impact Assessment.....	8
4.1	Consultation.....	8
4.2	Options	8
4.3	IIA	9
5.	Draft and Publication Policy	10
5.1	Policy CD3 – Conservation Areas.....	10
5.2	Policy CD4 – Listed Buildings.....	10
5.3	Policy CD5 – Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology.....	11
5.4	Policy CD6 – Registered Parks and Gardens.....	12
6.	Duty to Cooperate and Strategic Issues	14
	Appendix 1: Sources of Information	16

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 NEW LOCAL PLAN REVIEW

1.1.1 The Council is undertaking New Local Plan Review (NLPR) to ensure we have an up-to-date and fit-for-purpose Local Plan to guide the development of the Borough and reflect our values. The NLPR is a full review.

1.1.2 The purpose of this Policy Formulation Report (PFR) is to demonstrate how the following policies within Chapter 4 – Conservation and Design of the RBKC NLPR have been developed and evidenced to a level of detail which cannot be included in the NLPR document itself:

- **Policy CD3: Conservation Areas**
- **Policy CD4: Listed Buildings**
- **Policy CD5: Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology**
- **Policy CD6: Registered Parks and Gardens**

1.2 EXISTING LOCAL PLAN

1.2.1 This topic of the NLPR relates primarily to the following chapters and policies of the existing Local Plan:

Chapter 22: Renewing the Legacy

Policy CL3 Heritage Assets - Conservation Areas and Historic Spaces

Policy CL4 Heritage Assets: Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology.

1.3 KEY ISSUES AND POLICY DRIVER

1.3.1 The Council considers the NLPR must address issues that enable us to protect the designated and undesignated heritage assets within the borough in a proportionate way which places understanding and respecting their significance at the heart of decision making on change to the historic environment.

2. LEGISLATION, POLICY AND GUIDANCE CONTEXT

2.1 CONSERVATION (POLICIES CD3, CD4, CD5 & CD6)

INTRODUCTION

- 2.1.1 The existing Local Plan Policies CL3 and CL4 were adopted in 2019 and need to be updated to reflect national policy and our statutory duties in relation to heritage.

NATIONAL

LEGISLATION

- 2.1.2 Statutory duties in relation to heritage assets remain unchanged since the adoption of the existing Local Plan. Decisions relating to listed buildings and their settings and conservation areas must address the statutory considerations of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (in particular sections 16, 66 and 72). Policy must continue to reflect and acknowledge these duties.

NATIONAL POLICY

- 2.1.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) provides the overarching guidance on preparing Local Plans. Government advice on planning for the historic environment, set out in the NPPF recognises that protecting and enhancing the built and historic environment is fundamental to achieving sustainable development.
- 2.1.4 Section 16, *Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment* includes the key Requirements for Local Plans in relation to the historic environment, setting the expectation that plans should include *a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk*. This should take into account:
- a) *the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;*
 - b) *the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring;*
 - c) *the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness; and*
 - d) *opportunities to draw on the contribution made by the historic environment to the character of a place¹.*
- 2.1.5 Revised policy must also reflect the language and approach to heritage management set out in the NPPF. Understanding significance is at the heart of this and is the starting point in decision-making and policy in relation to the historic built environment. In managing change to the historic environment, the NPPF

¹ NPPF Chapter 16, para 190

makes clear that heritage assets are an *irreplaceable resource* and should be protected *in a manner appropriate to their significance* but encouraging new development which preserves their special qualities. The NPPF also states that, in some circumstances change may be permitted when harm is caused to significance where there are public benefits that outweigh that harm. At paragraphs 193 - 197 it sets out the different tests to be applied in assessing proposals which would result in harm, depending on the designation and significance of the asset and degree of harm caused.

- 2.1.6 Differentiation is made between designated and non-designated heritage assets. The NPPF notes that great weight should be given to the conservation of designated heritage assets, with the greatest weight attached to those assets considered to be of 'highest' significance. Outside those areas which benefit from statutory protection and designation, it recognises non-designated assets can nonetheless be of significance to local communities and contribute to historical understanding and local distinctiveness.

NATIONAL PLANNING PRACTICE GUIDANCE

- 2.1.7 National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) recommends that Local Plans should identify specific opportunities for the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets and notes that this might for example include the delivery of development within their settings that would make a positive contribution to or better reveal their significance³. The NPPG also makes clear the need to consider the relationship and impact of other policies on the delivery of the positive strategy for conservation.

HISTORIC ENGLAND ADVICE

- 2.1.8 Historic England in its role as advisor to the Government on heritage issues, has produced Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 1 - The Historic Environment in Local Plans. This outlines the requirements of the NPPF and advises on sources and the application of evidence in meeting these to deliver a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment.

LONDON PLAN POLICY

- 2.1.9 The adopted London Plan (2021) notes that London's historic environment, *represented in its built form, landscape heritage and archaeology, provides a depth of character that benefits the city's economy, culture and quality of life*, and that the historic buildings and landscapes of the capital combine to create a unique sense of place, *whilst layers of architectural history provide an environment that is of local, national and international value*². Boroughs are required to develop evidence that demonstrates a clear understanding of London's historic environment and to use it for identifying, conserving and improving access to and interpretation of heritage assets, and also to underpin development plans and strategies. This knowledge should also be used to inform *the effective integration of London's heritage in regenerative change*³.

² London Plan 2021, 7.1.1

³ London Plan 2021, Policy HC1

3. EVIDENCE BASE

3.1 UNDERSTANDING AND ASSESSING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RBKC'S HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

3.1.1 As set out above, the NPPF emphasises the importance of significance of heritage assets, and also notes the need for local planning authorities to have access to up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area. New London Plan Heritage Policy HC1 further emphasises this and requires development plans to demonstrate an understanding of London's historic environment and use this to inform the effective integration of heritage in regenerative change. An understanding of the significance of the Borough's heritage assets must therefore inform policy. Some background to these heritage assets, their significance and further sources of information and evidence are set out below.

RBKC'S DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS

3.1.2 The Royal Borough's unique character derives in large part from both the concentration and quality of its heritage assets. We have a high concentration of listed buildings and our designated conservation areas cover around 75% of the borough. This includes well known and cherished buildings such as the Natural History and Victoria and Albert Museums, iconic shopping areas such as Knightsbridge, Portobello Road and King's Road, and desirable residential quarters in the north and south of the borough. We also have some of the most important designated historic landscapes, from the historic Kensal Green Cemetery to the grounds of Chelsea Hospital and ancient Chelsea Physic Garden. A long section of historic river frontage forms the southern boundary of the borough.

3.1.2 Key elements of our historic environment and numbers of heritage assets are as follows⁴:

Number of Listed Buildings	
Grade I	18
Grade II*	116
Grade II	1,203
Total	1,337
Number of Scheduled Monuments	2
Number of Registered Parks and Gardens	
Grade I	3
Grade II*	1
Grade II	10
Total	14
Number of Conservation Areas	39

⁴ Source 'Heritage Counts 2021' Historic England

3.1.3 The extent of designated heritage assets, all of which have associated list descriptions and designation information, including Conservation Area Appraisals for our conservation areas means that our designated assets are relatively well documented.

NON-DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS

3.1.4 Other buildings, structures, monuments and open spaces, while not statutory listed or scheduled, can nonetheless be of architectural and historic interest or may make a significant contribution to the character and appearance of an area. These are therefore considered to be non-designated heritage assets. In RBKC these largely include unlisted buildings of merit and local features of historic interest, identified in conservation area appraisals and neighbourhood plans. There are other areas which, although not formally designated, benefit from other protection including London Squares protected by the London Squares Preservation Act.

3.1.5 Archaeological Priority Areas (APAs) are also non-designated heritage assets. The Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) have reviewed these for RBKC using consistent London-wide criteria, which has significantly improved understanding of archaeological potential across the city. APAs are categorised into one of Tiers 1 - 3 while all other areas within the Borough will be regarded as being in Tier 4. Tier levels indicate when there is a need to understand the potential impact of the proposed development on the heritage asset's significance.

3.1.6 The Borough has two Tier 1 APAs focused on Kensington Palace and the Chelsea Porcelain Factory, and five Tier 2 APAs based on historic settlements and features. Each area has a published description, and this information provides a detailed resource for understanding the Borough's archaeology¹⁰. Although undesignated heritage assets, Archaeological Priority Areas may contain significant known archaeological interest or potential for new discoveries, and their proportionate protection under the requirements of the NPPF means that arrangements are in place to evaluate their significance in advance of any disturbance.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

3.1.7 A list of key sources of information and evidence on the borough's historic environment is set out at Appendix 1.

KEY ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN POLICY DRAFTING:

- Given the exceptional quality and concentration of heritage assets in RBKC, we require a comprehensive policy approach. This should reflect national policy in stressing the importance of understanding significance and signposting sources of information in relation to different types of assets, where appropriate.
- The Borough's historic environment is relatively well-documented but further work needs to be undertaken to promote understanding, awareness, and access to information on all aspects of the historic environment. We should aim, where resources allow, to ensure all conservation areas have conservation area management plans, prepared in line with best practice.

4. CONSULTATION, OPTIONS, AND INTEGRATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT

4.1 CONSULTATION

- 4.1.1 Alternative options were consulted on as part of the borough Issues (September 2020) and Issues and Options (July 2021) consultation documents. The Consultation Schedules and Consultation Summaries for these are set out in the Consultation Statement published alongside the Regulation 19 Publication Policies (October 2022) consultation document. Consultation responses have been reviewed and used to inform the development of, and modification to, the draft NLPR Policies.
- 4.1.2 A breakdown of the public consultations undertaken by RBKC to inform the production of the NLPR is set out in the table below.

Public Consultation	Timeframe
Borough Issues Consultation	29 September – 10 November 2020
Issues and Options Consultation	26 July – 4 October 2021
Regulation 18 Draft Policies	9 February – 23 March 2022
Regulation 19 Publication	October 2022

Figure 1: RBKC NLPR Consultation Timeline.

4.2 OPTIONS

- 4.2.1 The options considered through the consultations and within the Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) are summarised below.
- 4.2.2 The Council has considered the options particularly in light of the ‘tests of soundness’ which are set out in the NPPF:

- **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area’s objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development;
- **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable

development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant.

- 4.2.3 In the Options paper no specific proposals for new policies relating to conservation issues were put forwards, as the Council considers that the existing policy CL3 (Heritage Assets – Conservation Areas and Historic Spaces) adequately reflected the statutory duty and policy requirements set out in the NPPF and remained fit for purpose and should be retained.
- 4.2.4 Policy CL4 (Heritage Assets – Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology) as it covered both buildings and archaeology, undesignated and designated heritage assets was proposed to be restructured and reworded in the interests of increased clarity.
- 4.2.5 It was also proposed to expand and separate this policy to address Registered Parks and Gardens, of which there are a number of highly significant examples in the borough.
- 4.2.6 No specific comments were addressed to these proposals in the comments on the issues and Options paper.
- 4.2.7 Other potential issues affecting the borough include that of addressing heritage at risk. However, numbers of heritage assets on the Register of Heritage at Risk maintained by Historic England are relatively low at 36, and a significant number are grave monuments in the borough's cemeteries. It was not therefore considered that having an additional policy would assist the Council's efforts to seek the repair of such structures, but a clause has been added to policy CD 4 to reflect the need to take opportunities where they are presented to secure the repair of designated heritage assets which have been identified as being at risk.
- 4.2.8 The need to address proportionately the significance of undesignated heritage assets is addressed within the the NPPF. Given the high coverage of the borough by conservation areas or archaeological priority areas, and the protection that these designations afford to undesignated heritage, the Council considers that an additional policy to cover these heritage assets is not necessary.

4.3 IIA

- 4.3.1 The IIA identified significant positive benefits arising from the creation of a policy to protect open space provision in the borough in the form of Registered Parks and Gardens, with indirect benefits for mental and physical health and well being and minor positive effects in relation to biodiversity and habitat.
- 4.3.2 Policies CD3 – CD6 were also identified as making minor positive benefits to the borough's economy by protecting the historic character and significance of the borough which acts as a major draw for visitors and tourism.

5. DRAFT AND PUBLICATION POLICY

5.1 POLICY CD3 – CONSERVATION AREAS

- 5.1.1 Following consideration of the options presented above, consultation and reasonable alternatives, Policy CD3 – sets out policy in relation to the protection of conservation areas, reflecting the statutory duty to preserve the character and appearance, and the possibility of enhancement in certain circumstances. The modifications made as a result of the Reg. 18 Draft Policies consultation are highlighted in red:

CD3: Heritage Assets - Conservation Areas

- A. Require development to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the conservation area and thereby protect the special architectural or historic interest of the area and its setting.
- B. Development in conservation areas should take opportunities to enhance the character and appearance where appropriate.
- C. Resist the change of use of any building where the current use contributes to the character of the surrounding conservation area and to its sense of place unless it can be demonstrated that there is no demand, and it is not viable to maintain it within its current use, or a new use is identified which will also contribute positively to the sense of place.
- D. Resist substantial demolition in conservation areas unless it can be demonstrated that:
 - A. in the case of substantial harm or loss to the significance of the conservation area it is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss.
 - B. in the case of less than substantial harm to the significance of the conservation area, that the public benefits outweigh that harm.
 - C. the building or part of the building or structure makes no positive contribution to the character or appearance of the area.
- E. Require full planning applications in conservation areas.

5.2 POLICY CD4 – LISTED BUILDINGS

- 5.2.1 Following consideration of the options presented above, consultation and reasonable alternatives, Policy CD4 – sets out policy in relation to the protection of listed buildings, reflecting the statutory duty to preserve the special architectural and historic interest, and the possibility of enhancement in certain circumstances. The modifications made as a result of the Reg. 18 Draft Policies consultation are highlighted in red:

CD4 – Listed Buildings

- A. Require development to protect the heritage significance of listed buildings.
- B. Require all development and any works for alterations or extensions related to listed buildings to preserve the heritage significance of the building, or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest it possesses.
- C. Resist the **total or substantial** demolition of listed buildings **and resist the alteration of listed buildings which involves** the removal or modification of features of architectural importance, both internal and external **unless a clear and convincing justification can be made for doing so.**
- D. Require the preservation of original architectural features and later features of interest both internal and external.

In delivering this take opportunities to:

- 1. reinstate internal and external features of special architectural or historic significance, commensurate with the extent of proposed development.
 - 2. take opportunities to remove internal and external features that harm the architectural or historic significance of the asset, commensurate with the extent of proposed development.
- E. Resist the change of use of a listed building that would materially harm its character.
 - F. Require any work to a listed building to sustain the significance of the heritage asset and as such strongly encourage any works to a listed building to be carried out in a correct, scholarly manner informed by appropriate specialists.
 - G. **Take opportunities to secure the repair of listed buildings which have been identified as being at risk.**

5.3 POLICY CD5 – SCHEDULED ANCIENT MONUMENTS AND ARCHAEOLOGY

- 5.3.1 5.3.1 Following consideration of the options presented above, consultation and reasonable alternatives, Policy CD5 – sets out policy in relation to the protection of Scheduled Ancient Monument and Archaeology, reflecting the requirements of the NPPF in relation to designated and undesignated heritage assets. No modifications were made as a result of the Reg. 18 Draft Policies consultation.

CD5 Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology

- A. The Council will require development in the setting of Scheduled Ancient Monuments to protect their heritage significance.
- B. The Council will require applications for development to make proportionate provision for the assessment and evaluation of archaeology on the site, including:
 - 1. Desk based assessments and, where necessary, field evaluation for major developments proposed in Archaeological Priority Areas.
 - 2. Desk based archaeological assessments and where necessary field evaluation for small developments within Archaeological Priority Areas and major developments outside of Archaeological Priority Areas unless pre-application advice has been obtained from the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service that this is not necessary, taking into account the impact of the proposed development and including proportionate mitigation measures, and, where appropriate taking opportunities better to reveal or reinterpret archaeological remains and discoveries for the local community.

5.4 POLICY CD6 – REGISTERED PARKS AND GARDENS

- 5.4.1 Following consideration of the options presented above, consultation and reasonable alternatives, Policy CD5 – sets out policy in relation to the protection of Scheduled Ancient Monument and Archaeology, reflecting the requirements of the NPPF in relation to designated and undesignated heritage assets. The modifications made as a result of the Reg. 18 Draft Policies consultation are highlighted in red:

CD6 Registered Parks and Gardens

- A. Development in or in the setting of Registered Parks and Gardens **should** preserve their heritage significance or setting.
- B. To ensure criterion A is delivered such development should take opportunities to:
 - 1. Reinstate landscape or built features which contribute positively to the historic interest of the park or garden.
 - 2. ~~Take opportunities to R~~emove landscape or built features that harm the historic interest of the asset.

- C. Developments within a Registered Park or Garden **should** sustain the significance of the heritage asset and ~~as such development must~~ **should** be carried out in a correct, scholarly manner informed by appropriate specialists.

6. DUTY TO COOPERATE AND STRATEGIC ISSUES

- 6.1.1 The legal obligation of the ‘duty to cooperate’ requires the Council to “engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis” and have “regard to activities” (i.e. strategies, plans, policies) of other bodies in the preparation of Local Plans “so far as relating to a strategic matter”. This includes “considering whether to consult on and prepare... agreements or joint approaches”⁵.
- 6.1.2 A “strategic matter” relates to “sustainable development or use of land that has or would have a significant impact on at least two planning areas, including (in particular)... in connection with infrastructure that is strategic”⁶. Strategic matters are further defined in paragraph 24 - 27 of the NPPF⁷ and paragraph 009 - 017 of the PPG on maintaining effective cooperation⁸.
- 6.1.3 Figure 2 shows the actions the actions the Council has taken with regard to the duty and the relevant prescribed bodies.
- 6.1.4 The Council has prepared a statement ground which sets out where we are in agreement with neighbouring authorities. This will be amended as and when appropriate.

Strategic issue	Relevant prescribed bodies ⁹	Council actions Prescribed bodies’ strategies, plans and policies which the Council has had regard to
All	The Council has had regard to all relevant strategies, plans and policies of the relevant prescribed bodies in preparing the policies – as set out in Legislation, Policy and Guidance sections of Policy Formulation Reports (PFRs)	Ongoing
All	New Local Plan Review Issues consultation – see Consultation Schedule	Sept. to Oct. 2020
All	New Local Plan Review Issues and Options consultation – see Consultation Schedule	Jun. to Oct. 2021

⁵ Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2010.

⁶ Section 33A(4) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2010.

⁷ [MHCLG, National Planning Policy Framework \(NPPF\), July 2021.](#)

⁸ [DLUHC, MHCLG, Planning Policy Guidance, October 2021.](#)

⁹ Regulation 4 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

Strategic issue	Relevant prescribed bodies ⁹	Council actions Prescribed bodies' strategies, plans and policies which the Council has had regard to
All	New Local Plan Review Regulation 18 Draft Policies consultation – see Consultation Schedule	Feb. to Mar. 2021

Figure 2: *Duty to cooperate strategic issues, prescribed bodies and Council action.*

APPENDIX 1: SOURCES OF INFORMATION

RBKC Documents

Conservation Area Appraisals and Proposals Statements (various dates) Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea

[Conservation Area Appraisals and Proposal Statements | Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea \(rbkc.gov.uk\)](https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/conservation-area-appraisals-and-proposal-statements)

RBKC Greening SPD

[Greening SPD | Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea \(rbkc.gov.uk\)](https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/greening-spd)

RBKC Supplementary Planning Documents and Guidance

[Supplementary planning documents and guidance | Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea \(rbkc.gov.uk\)](https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/supplementary-planning-documents-and-guidance)

Royal Parks Management Plans

[Kensington-Gardens-Management-Plan-16-26.pdf \(royalparksof Kensington.org.uk\)](https://www.royalparksof Kensington.org.uk/kensington-gardens-management-plan-16-26.pdf)

[BCCP105-Brompton-Cem-Conservation-Plan Sections-1 and 2.pdf \(royalparksof Kensington.org.uk\)](https://www.royalparksof Kensington.org.uk/bccp105-brompton-cem-conservation-plan-sections-1-and-2.pdf)

Survey of London

Vol 2. [Chelsea, pt I](#) – [Walter H. Godfrey](#) (1909) (LSC)

Vol. 4 [Chelsea, pt II](#) – [Walter H. Godfrey](#) (1913) (LSC)

Vol. 7 [Chelsea, part III: The Old Church](#) – [Walter H. Godfrey](#) (editor) (1921) (LSC)

Vol. 11 [Chelsea, part IV: The Royal Hospital](#) – [Walter H. Godfrey](#) (editor) (1927) (LSC)

Vol. 37 [Northern Kensington](#) – [F. H. W. Sheppard](#) (General Editor) (1973)

[ISBN 9780485482379](#) (Athlone Press for the GLC)

Vol. 38 [The Museums Area of South Kensington and Westminster](#) – [F. H. W. Sheppard](#) (General Editor) (1975) [ISBN 048548238X](#) (Athlone Press for the GLC)

Vol. 41 [Brompton](#) – [F. H. W. Sheppard](#) (General Editor) (1983) [ISBN 048548241X](#) (Athlone Press for the GLC)

Vol. 42 [Kensington Square to Earl's Court](#) – [Hermione Hobhouse](#) (General Editor) (1986)

[ISBN 0485482428](#) (Athlone Press for the GLC)

[an_building_height_study.pdf](#)

London View Management Framework

[London View Management Framework | London City Hall](#)

Historic England Advice Documents

Good Practice Advice Notes: -

Good Practice Advice 1 - [GPA1 - Local Plan Making](#)

Good Practice Advice 2 - [GPA2 - Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment](#)

Good Practice Advice 3 - [GPA3 - Setting and Views](#)

Good Practice Advice 4 - [GPA4 - Enabling Development and Heritage Assets](#)

Advice Notes: -

Historic England Advice Note 1 - [Conservation Areas](#)

Historic England Advice Note 2 - [Making Changes to Heritage Assets](#)

Historic England Advice Note 3 - [The Historic Environment and Site Allocations in Local Plans](#)

Historic England Advice Note 4 - [Tall Buildings](#)

Historic England Advice Note 6 - [Drawing up a Local Listed Building Consent Order](#)

Historic England Advice Note 10 - [Listed Buildings and Curtilage](#)

Historic England Advice Note 11 - [Neighbourhood Planning and the Historic Environment](#)

Historic England Advice Note 12 - [Statements of Heritage Significance](#)

Historic England Advice Note 14 - [Energy Efficiency and Traditional Homes](#)

Historic England Advice Note 15 - [Commercial Renewable Energy Development and the Historic Environment](#)

Historic England Advice Note 16 - [Listed Building Consent](#)

Heritage Counts studies (various)

<https://historicengland.org.uk/research/heritage-counts/>

Historic England Historic Environment Overview 2021 – 22

[Historic Environment Overview Spring 2022 \(historicengland.org.uk\)](#)

Good Growth in London's Historic Environment (2017)

<https://historicengland.org.uk/content/docs/get-involved/translating-good-growth-london-historic-environment-120717-pdf/>

Historic England Streets for all London (2018)

[Streets for All | Historic England](#)

Wellbeing and the Historic Built Environment (2018)

[Wellbeing and the Historic Environment \(historicengland.org.uk\)](#)

Historic England Technical Advice, relevant to retrofitting and access

<https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/technical-advice/energy-efficiency-and-historicbuildings/>

<https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/technical-advice/energy-efficiency-and-historicbuildings/>

[windows-and-doors-in-historic-buildings/](#)

<https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/technical-advice/emergency-and-fire/fire-advice/>

<https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/traditional-windows-care-repairupgrading/>

<https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/flooding-and-historic-buildings-2ednrev/heag017-flooding-and-historic-buildings/>

<https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/technical-advice/easy-access-to-historic-buildings->

[andlandscapes/](#)

Historic England Archaeological Priority Areas

[Greater London Archaeological Priority Areas | Historic England](#)

<https://historicengland.org.uk/content/docs/planning/apa-kensington-chelsea-pdf/>



THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF
KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA

www.rbkc.gov.uk/planningpolicy