## RBKC NCIL Bid Assessment Criteria

## February 2021

NCIL Project bids will be assessed against the criteria below. There are three categories, Mandatory, Project and Councillor support and Community support / consultation criteria.

Project bids that pass the Mandatory criteria will be considered further, those that do not will not be considered further. Project bids will be scored against the two remaining categories of criteria, those with the highest score may be considered for NCIL funding allocation by ward councillors.

Column 2 provides the existing NCIL criteria in the adopted <u>Community Priorities document</u> that the proposed scoring criteria in column 3 relates to. <u>Mandatory Criteria</u>

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Pass / Fail
1	Does the project meet CIL regulations?	Projects must:  Involve the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or  Help to address the demands which development places on an area	If the bid fails against this criterion it cannot be considered further.
2	-	Is there enough NCIL funding available in the ward where the project is to take place?	The bid will fail if there is not enough NCIL available in the ward to fund a project. If the bid fails against this criterion it cannot be considered further.

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Pass / Fail
3	Is the project a one-off scheme that does not require additional revenue funding in its delivery or its operation (or identifies how additional revenue funding may be met)?	The project does not require additional ongoing revenue funding in its delivery or its operation. If ongoing costs are required, does it identify how additional revenue funding will be met from funding sources other than NCIL?	The bid will fail if it is not clear on the amount and timescales of future revenue funding required. If the bid fails against this criterion it cannot be considered further.
4	-	Is the bid for a project within the Borough?  Projects outside of the Borough will not be eligible for RBKC NCIL funding. An exception would be where cross-borough NCIL funding can be secured.	The bid will pass if the project is within the Borough or if crossborough funding can be secured. If the bid fails against this criterion it cannot be considered further.
5	-	Applied to Multi Ward bids only:  The bid seeks an equal amount from each ward and is there is enough NCIL funding available in each of the wards where the project will take place.  This will normally be an equal amount from each of the wards where NCIL is being sought from, unless there is an exceptional reason which must be set out in detail in the application.	The bid will fail if there is not enough NCIL available in the wards to fund the project, if an equal amount of NCIL from each ward has not been clearly stated, or if an exceptional reason for different amounts has not been provided. If the bid fails against this criterion it cannot be considered further.

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Pass / Fail
6	Is the project deliverable?	Can the project be delivered without the involvement of other stakeholders outside of the Council's control?  For example, private landowners whose permission may be required. If this is the case, then details of support/ relevant permissions should be provided.	The bid will fail if the project cannot be delivered without the involvement of other stakeholders outside of the Council's control and confirmation of support / relevant permissions have not been provided to support the bid. If the bid fails it cannot be
			considered further as delivery cannot be ensured.

## Project criteria

No	. Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
7	Does the project relate to one or more of the identified community priorities or Neighbourhood plan priorities?	Does the project relate to one or more of the identified community priorities in the Community Priorities  Document or is a Neighbourhood Plan priority?	2 –Ward specific or Neighbourhood Plan infrastructure priority 1 - Borough wide priority 0 – None

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
8	Does the project benefit the local and/or wider community in the Borough and is this evidenced?	The project must achieve a long-lasting sustainable outcome by benefiting the local and/or wider community in the Borough. This must be evidenced.  The project must demonstrate improvements for borough residents.	3 – Local residents in the immediate area of the project 2 – All ward residents 1 – Borough wide 0 – Benefits an individual or will result in a negative impact on the wider area
9	Does the project benefit the local and/or wider community in the Borough and is this evidenced?	Applied to Multi Ward bids only:  The bid clearly identifies the benefits / improvements arising for each of the wards that NCIL is being sought from.	2 – All residents in all wards 1 - Specific communities / local residents in each of the wards 0 – not all wards benefit
10	Is the project a one-off scheme that does not require additional revenue funding in its delivery or its operation (or identifies how additional revenue funding may be met)?	The project explains how the ongoing / future maintenance costs will be funded.	1 – Yes 0 – No
11	Is the project deliverable?	The project provides full details of what is to be delivered supported by a project delivery strategy which sets out key milestones.	1 – Yes 0 - No
12	Is the project deliverable?	The project is capable of being started and completed within the year ahead.	2 – within 12 months 1 – within 18 months 0 – more than 18 months

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
13	Is the project deliverable?	The project is a service that that Council provides.  Bids to use external service providers for services that the Council provides will be rejected.	2 – The Council provides the service and will deliver it 1 – No, the Council does not provide the service 0 – The Council provides the service, but an external provider will deliver it (bid will be rejected as it will not meet procurement guidelines)
14	Is the project deliverable?	There is an identified project manager.	2 – Named Council officer 1 – Named external individual / organisation / company 0 – No
15	Does the bid offer value for money?	Has the project received previous NCIL funding?	1 – No 0 – Yes
16	Does the bid offer value for money?	The bid provides itemised costs for each aspect of the project.  Where bids propose items or services to be procured externally the bid must be supported with three quotes to demonstrate best value for money.	1 – Yes 0 – No and/ or quotes not provided
17	Does the bid offer value for money?	Does the project relate to any existing project or one which is being taken forward by the Council?	1 – Yes 0 - No

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
18	Does the bid offer value for money?	The bid includes Council officer time costs.	1 – Yes 0 – No
19	Does the bid offer value for money?	NCIL funding will secure additional / match funding or complement existing funding already secured from elsewhere.  The applicant must provide full details of the additional funding source	1 – Yes 0 – No
20	Does the bid offer value for money?	Overall, does the bid offer value for money?	<ul><li>2 - Savings or increased revenue to the Council</li><li>1 - No change</li><li>0 - There are additional cost burdens</li></ul>

## Councillor support and Community support / consultation

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
21	-	Does the bid demonstrate and evidence that there is community support for the proposed project? This will be assessed on a scale of 0 to 5.	5 – Strong evidence of community support 3 – Evidence of community support 0 – No evidence of community support.

No.	Broad NCIL criteria in adopted Community Priorities Document	Criteria	Scoring
22	-	Is the project a ward councillor priority?  Ward councillors will provide a score for this criterion as part of their decision making. In doing so an explanation for the score given and for their final funding allocation decision will be provided.	6 – High 4 – Medium 2 – Low